Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/625,999	DOERKSEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason B. Dunham	3625
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Jason B. Dunham</u> .	(3)	
(2) Elliott D. Light.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 February 2007	Time: <u>10:00 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1-3,5-7,9-16,18-20,22-33,35-43,75-77 and 79-81		
Prior art documents discussed: Bonneau (US 6,978,273) and Moore (US 2002/0143603)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT W	/AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a wrecord of the substance of the	ritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
	•	
·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated to Mr. Light that upon cancelation of claim 20 and Examiner's amendment to the remaining claims to more positively recited the novel features of the present invention, the application would be placed in condition for allowance. Mr. Light indicated that claim 20 should be canceled, claim 35 was to depend on claim 27, and the Examiner should proceed with the discussed amendments to the claims which better defined the historical transaction data as relating to transactions between the targeted recipient and the catalogue retailer.